

- Station: Not applicable.
- Units: Public Order Police (POP) Unit, Paarl.
- Details: Member still performing duties.

Constable Conradie: Still employed by SAPS.

- Rank: Constable.
- Post: Public Order Police (POP) Unit, Paarl.
- Station: Not applicable.
- Units: Public Order Police (POP) Unit, Paarl.
- Details: Member still performing duties.

(5)(a) All members are performing public-facing and operational duties.

(5)(b) Not applicable, in respect of all members.

(5)(c) All members have authority to carry a state firearm.

(5)(c)(i)to(iv) Not applicable.

(1)(a) Yes, the allegation was that South African Police Service (SAPS) members assaulted the complainant, by punching and kicking him.

(1)(b) The outcomes of internal disciplinary processes are as follows, per accused:

Accused 1:

- (i) Name of member: Lt Col DD Manuel
- (ii) Charges:
 - Charge 1: Regulation 5(3)(jj) – failed to report an act of misconduct in her presence by not reporting that his fellow employee assaulted, Juma Igitiraneza, by hitting him with a closed fist and kicking him with feet indiscriminately.
 - Charge 2: Regulation 5(3)(gg) – neglect her duties or perform her functions in an improper manner by failing to prevent or stopping his fellow employee assaulting Juma Igitiraneza, by hitting him with a closed fist and kicking him with feet indiscriminately.
 - Charge 2: Alternative 1: Regulation 5(3)(u) – contravened the Code of Conduct by the Service by failing to uphold the Constitution and/or the Law by failing to protect the fundamental rights of Juma Igitiraneza by not preventing the employee to assault Juma Igitiraneza and hitting him with a closed fist and kicking him with feet indiscriminately.
- (iii) The member was found not guilty on all charges.
- (iv) Sanction: None.
- (v) Effective date: 2024-07-24.

The employee was found not guilty due to the complainant failing to attend the proceedings on 2 separate occasions 2024-07-24.

Accused 2:

- (iii) Name of member: Constable L Maroon.
- (iv) Charges:

I
NATIONAL COUNCIL OF PROVINCES

FOR WRITTEN REPLY

QUESTION 1545

**DATE OF PUBLICATION IN INTERNAL QUESTION PAPER: 21 NOVEMBER 2025
(INTERNAL QUESTION PAPER NO 55-2025)**

1545. Ms J M Adriaanse (North West: DA) to ask the Acting Minister of Police:

- (1) Whether, with reference to the reply to Question 461 on 13 May 2025, he will provide (a) further details regarding the assault by members of the SA Police Service (SAPS) and (b) full outcomes of the internal disciplinary processes that were concluded on 6 June 2024 in the Mowbray barber case, including the (i) names of the implicated members (ii) charges that were preferred under the SAPS Disciplinary Regulations, (iii) finding on each charge, (iv) sanctions that were imposed and (v) effective date in each (details furnished)case; if not, why not; if so, what are the relevant details;
- (2) whether any appeals and/or reviews were lodged internally in this regard; if not, what is the position in this regard; if so, (a) by whom, (b) on what grounds, (c) who was the decision-maker, (d) what was the final decision, (e) what were the reasons for each decision and (f) on what dates in each case;
- (3) whether any precautionary suspensions and/or temporary withdrawals from operational duties, including any withdrawals of a service firearm has been ordered pending the outcome; if not, what is the position in this regard; if so, (a) what are the time periods in this regard and (b) why his previous reply omitted the actual outcomes, findings and sanctions of the internal processes;
- (4) whether (a) he will commit to ensure that future replies on disciplinary matters disclose outcomes and sanctions that are not just the conclusion of the process and (b) each implicated member in the Mowbray barber case is still employed by the SAPS; if not, (i) why not and (ii) what is their current service status; if so, at what (aa) ranks, (bb) posts, (cc) stations, (dd) units and (ee) what are the further relevant details;
- (5) whether they are (a) performing public-facing and/or operational duties or (b) placed on non-public duties and administrative functions and (c) presently authorised to carry the SAPS firearms; if not, (i) when were the firearms withdrawn, (ii) under what authority, (iii) on what risk assessment and (iv) what were the safeguards; if so, what are the relevant details?

CW1775E

REPLY:

protecting the fundamental rights of Juma Igiranieza whereby he assaulted him with a closed fist and kicked him with feet indiscriminately.

- (iii) The employee was found guilty on Charge 1: Alternative 1: Regulation 5(3)(t).
- (iv) Sanction: Final Written Warning.
- (v) Effective date: 2024-06-20.

(2)(a) No appeals and/or reviews were lodged internally.

(2)(b)to(f) Not applicable.

(3) No suspensions, temporary withdrawals from operational duties, or withdrawals of any firearms were initiated, based on the merits of the misconduct.

(3)(a) Not applicable.

(3)(b) The previous Parliamentary Question, numbered 461, asked only for the status and reason for any delays. Specifics on the formulated charges were not requested.

(4)(a) Yes, details will be provided if requested.

(4)(b) All implicated members are still employed in the South African Police Service (SAPS).

(4)(b)(i) Not applicable.

(4)(b)(ii)(aa)to(ee) Lieutenant Colonel Current Manuel: Still employed by SAPS.

- Rank: Lieutenant Colonel.
- Post: Acting Station Commander.
- Station: Steenberg Police Station.
- Units: Not applicable.
- Details: Officer still performing duties.

Constable Maroon: Still employed by SAPS.

- Rank: Constable.
- Post: Public Order Police (POP) Unit, Paarl.

- Charge 1: Regulation 5(3)(jj) – failed to report an act of misconduct in her presence by not reporting that her fellow employee assaulted Juma Igitiraneza, by hitting him with a closed fist and kicking him with feet indiscriminately.
- Charge 2: Regulation 5(3)(gg) – neglect her duties or perform her functions in an improper manner by failing to prevent or stopping her fellow employee assaulting Juma Igitiraneza, by hitting him with a closed fist and kicking him with feet indiscriminately.
- Charge 2: Alternative 1: Regulation 5(3)(u) – contravened the Code of Conduct by the Service by failing to uphold the Constitution and/or the Law by failing to protect the fundamental rights of Juma Igitiraneza, by not preventing the employee to assault Juma Igitiraneza by hitting him with a closed fist and kicking him with feet indiscriminately.

(v) The employee was found guilty on Charge 2: Regulation 5(3)(gg).

(vi) Sanction: Written Warning.

(vii) Effective date: 2024-04-25.

Accused 3:

- (i) Name of member: Constable Conradie.
- (ii) Charges:
 - Charge 1: Regulation 5(3)(a) - in that he failed to comply or contravene any Act, regulation or legal obligation, by unlawfully and intentionally assaulted the complainant Juma Igitiraneza, with a closed fist and kicking him with feet indiscriminately.
 - Charge 1: Alternative 1: Regulation 5(3)(t) - in that he conducted himself in an improper disgraceful and unacceptable manner, by assaulting the complainant Juma Igitiraneza, by hitting him with a closed fist and kicking him with feet indiscriminately.
 - Charge 1: Alternative 2: Regulation 5(3)(u) – contravene any prescribed Code of Conduct of the Service, by failing to uphold the Constitution and or the Law by not upholding and